

Imagine the result

Northwest Marine Trade Association Puget Soundkeeper Alliance Washington State Department of Ecology

Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Cost Analysis

June 27, 2008

Rhiannon L. Parmelee, E.I.T. Environmental Engineer

Barn

Barry L. Kellems, P.E. Principal Engineer

Philip A. Spadaro, L.G. Senior Vice President

Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Cost Analysis

Prepared for:

Northwest Marine Trade Association Puget Soundkeeper Alliance Washington State Department of Ecology

Prepared by:

ARCADIS 2300 Eastlake Avenue East Suite 200 Seattle Washington 98102 Tel 206.325.5254 Fax 206.325.8218

Our Ref.: B0024602.0000.00004

Date: June 27, 2008

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary						
1.	Introdu	1				
	1.1	Typical Boatyard Description	1			
	1.2	Common Basis of Costing	2			
	1.3	Cost Analysis Organization	3			
2.	Assum	nptions for Stormwater Treatment Technologies	4			
	2.1	StormwateRx® Aquip™	4			
		2.1.1 Construction Cost Assumptions	4			
		2.1.2 O&M Cost Assumptions	5			
	2.2	Siemens Water Technologies WWIX	6			
		2.2.1 Construction Cost Assumptions	6			
		2.2.2 O&M Cost Assumptions	8			
	2.3	Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave Ionics™	10			
		2.3.1 Construction Cost Assumptions	10			
		2.3.2 O&M Cost Assumptions	11			
3.	Assum	nptions for Typical Site Improvements	13			
	3.1	Drainage Improvements Cost Assumptions	13			
	3.2	Infrastructure Cost Assumptions	14			
	3.3	O&M Cost Assumptions	14			
4.	Cost A	nalysis Results	15			
	4.1	Results	15			
	4.2	Discussion	16			
	4.3	Limitations	18			
5.	Refere	nces	19			

Table of Contents

Tables

1	StormwateRx® Aquip™ Cost Estimate
2	Siemens Water Technologies WWIX Cost Estimate
3	Water Tectonics Wave Ionic™ Electro-coagulation Cost Estimate
4	Typical Site Improvements for Stormwater Collection Cost Estimate
5	Total Costs and Net Present Value for Typical 2-Acre Boatyard
6	Total Costs and Net Present Value per Acre for a Typical Boatyard

Appendices

A StormwateRx® Aquip [™] Cost Estimate €	Quote
---	-------

- B Siemens Water Technologies WWIX Cost Estimate Quote
- C Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave Ionics[™] Cost Estimate Quote

Acronyms and Abbreviation

BMP	best management practice						
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology						
ft	foot (feet)						
ft ²	square foot (square feet)						
ft ³	cubic foot (cubic feet)						
gpm	gallon(s) per minute						
GAC	granular activated carbon						
NPV	net present value						
O&M	operations and maintenance						
WWIX	Wastewater Ion Exchange						
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency						

Executive Summary

Three stormwater treatment technologies were tested for effectiveness in removing pollutants from boatyard stormwater in a pilot study, the results of which are presented in The Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Study (Taylor Associates 2008). Cost estimates for each technology were developed to help determine if they should be considered as "all known, available and reasonable methods by industries and others to prevent and control the pollution of the waters of the State of Washington" (this statutory requirement is generally known by an acronym – AKART). This report presents order-of-magnitude capital and operation and maintenance (O&M) cost estimates necessary for an AKART determination by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology).

In order to develop a common basis of costing, a typical boatyard was considered to be two acres of flat, impervious surface with one stormwater outfall. Based on a survey of boatyards, the typical boatyard does not have all necessary infrastructure in place to effectively collect stormwater. The following four cost estimates were developed:

- StormwateRx® Aquip[™] capital and O&M costs
- Siemens Water Technologies, Inc. Wastewater Ion Exchange System (WWIX) capital and O&M costs
- Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave Ionics[™] Electro-Coagulation System capital and O&M costs
- Drainage improvement and infrastructure capital and O&M costs

A net present value (NPV) analysis was performed based on 15-year project life. The results of the NPV suggested that StormwateRx® Aquip[™] and the Water Tectonics Wave lonics[™] systems had similar NPVs of approximately \$220,000 to \$230,000 for a typical 2-acre boatyard. The Siemens Water Technologies WWIX system NPV was over three times the cost of the other two technologies. Site improvements for a typical 2-acre boatyard are estimated to contribute to approximately one-half of the total cost to install and operate a stormwater treatment technology.

1. Introduction

The Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Study (Taylor Associates 2008) presents the pilot study treatment results for three stormwater technologies: StormwateRx® Aquip[™]; Siemens Water Technologies, Inc. Wastewater Ion Exchange System (WWIX); and Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave Ionics[™] Electro-Coagulation System. Cost estimates for each technology were developed in order to determine if they should be considered suitable for classification as "all known, available and reasonable methods by industries and others to prevent and control the pollution of the waters of the State of Washington" (this statutory requirement is generally known by an acronym – AKART). This report presents the cost estimates for each treatment technology and necessary site drainage improvements for a typical boatyard to comply with the Boatyard General Stormwater Permit. The results, in conjunction with pilot study treatment results, will be used by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to determine AKART for the Boatyard General Permit.

The costs presented in the report are based on installing and maintaining treatment systems and do not include the cost of implementing source control (operational or structural) best management practices (BMPs). Source control BMPs were assumed to have already been implemented at the boatyards. Typical site improvement costs for the purpose of promoting stormwater drainage to a treatment system are included in the analysis. Other site-specific costs, such as installing an outfall, are not included because they are not considered representative of a typical boatyard.

The level of accuracy of these estimated costs is "Order of Magnitude," as defined by the American Association of Cost Engineers. The accuracy of an Order of Magnitude estimate is plus 50% and minus 30%. Cost estimates at this level may be used to compare alternatives, but should not be used to plan, finance, or develop projects.

1.1 Typical Boatyard Description

The cost estimate was based on a typical boatyard in order for the analysis to be relevant to the overall boatyard industry in Washington State. The typical boatyard is assumed to be 2 acres of flat, impervious surface with one stormwater outfall.

Boatyards have varying levels of existing stormwater infrastructure. A number of boatyards were surveyed for existing conditions. Approximately 60% did not have complete stormwater collection infrastructure. Of the boatyards that did not currently

have complete stormwater infrastructure, 50% to 75% would need significant regrading to effectively drain and collect stormwater.

1.2 Common Basis of Costing

Because the purpose of this report is to provide a reasonable cost estimate, but a completed design of a stormwater treatment system has not yet been done, assumptions were made regarding the components of a typical stormwater treatment system based on previous designs. Where possible, design considerations that could increase the cost reported here are identified.

The treatment processes assumed for the cost estimate are as follows:

- 1. Collection in a terminal catch basin from stormwater piping.
- 2. Diversion of the volume of water to be treated based on the design storm and conveyance of the remaining overflow to the stormwater outfall.
- 3. Settling of solids to remove particulates larger than 100 microns.
- 4. Gravity flow to a wet well sump.
- 5. Pumping to the inlet of the aboveground treatment system.
- 6. Removal of fine particulates using a filtration system.
- 7. Removal of dissolved metals using one of the three candidate stormwater treatment technologies.
- 8. Conveyance to an existing outfall pipe that discharges to the receiving water.

The primary contaminants of concern in the stormwater from a typical boatyard are copper, lead and zinc. It is assumed for this analysis that each of the three stormwater treatment technologies is effective in treating these contaminants, even through the Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Study (Taylor Associates 2008) demonstrated differing capabilities among the technologies.

The water quality design flow rate was calculated using the Western Washington Hydrology Model, which is an approved continuous runoff model described in the Western Washington Stormwater Management Manual (2005). The manual indicates

Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Cost Analysis

that the flow rate at or below 91% of the total runoff volume, should be treated for water quality. This is equivalent to the 6-month, 24-hour design storm estimated using a single hydrograph method. Model results for King, Snohomish and Whatcom County indicate a range of peak runoff flow rates between 60 to 80 gallons per minute (gpm) for an off-line BMP. Therefore, a flow rate of 70 gpm was assumed for the stormwater treatment cost estimate.

The total annual volume of water to be treated can be approximated by multiplying the annual precipitation by the area of the boatyard by 91%. The annual precipitation in Seattle, Washington, typically ranges from 37 inches to 39 inches. Therefore, the annual volume of water to be treated is estimated at approximately 1,900,000 gallons.

1.3 Cost Analysis Organization

The body of the report details the assumptions and results of the cost estimates for each technology and for typical boatyard site improvements. The assumptions for both capital costs and operations and maintenance (O&M) are presented. The results section provides a net present value (NPV) analysis for each cost estimate and a summary of the cost per acre to install each stormwater technology.

Section 2: Assumptions for Stormwater Treatment Technologies

Section 3: Assumptions for Typical Site Improvements

Section 4: Cost Analysis Results

Section 5: References

2. Assumptions for Stormwater Treatment Technologies

To install any stormwater technology, an engineering report is required to comply with the General Boatyard Permit. It is assumed that a lump sum of \$5,000 would be required to cover this task. The following three sections discuss the cost assumptions associated with each of the three candidate technologies.

2.1 StormwateRx® Aquip[™]

The StormwateRx® Aquip[™] is a passive, adsorptive filtration technology designed for reduction of stormwater pollutants such as suspended solids, turbidity, heavy metals and oils from stormwater. Aquip[™] uses a pre-treatment chamber followed by a series of inert and adsorptive (depending on configuration) filtration media to trap pollutants. Pollutant removal within the pre-treatment chamber occurs by gravity settling, and pollutant removal in the filtration chamber occurs through a combination of chemical complexing, adsorption, micro-sedimentation and filtration.

2.1.1 Construction Cost Assumptions

The Aquip[™] capital costs were established assuming that stormwater would be collected in a terminal catch basin, the volume to be treated would flow by gravity to a wet well sump, the water in the sump would be pumped to the beginning of the aboveground treatment chamber and then flow by gravity to the outfall. Since the Aquip[™] system provides solids settling, filtration and metals removal (processes 3, 6 and 7 in Section 1.2); additional devices are not needed for this cost estimate. The cost estimate is presented in Table 1 and the vendor quote is included in Appendix A.

The Aquip[™] elements include:

Aquip[™] Model 80SB packaged filtration system. The prepackaged system is contained in a steel, water-tight chamber that is 17 feet (ft) by 6 ft by 6 ft in height. The filtration chamber includes a 27-inch thick layer of sorptive and inert filtration media. The vendor quote provided in Appendix A for the packaged system is \$48,500 which includes O&M training for the owner.

Additional equipment needs for installation of this stormwater treatment technology include:

- Wet well sump. A 60-inch-diameter manhole with a total depth less than 8 ft which costs approximately \$2,500.
- **Submersible pump.** A submersible pump with a flow rate of 70 gpm with automatic float switch that costs approximately \$800.
- Wet well sump, piping, and pump installation. Includes the excavation and placement of the wet well sump, placement and start up of pump, and any necessary plumbing required to tie into the stormwater collection system. This is estimated to cost \$2,500.

Additional installation support costs include:

- **System delivery.** It is assumed that the delivery costs from Portland, Oregon, to the site are \$1,500.
- **Placement and assembly.** It is assumed that a total of 16 labor hours are needed for placement and installation of the treatment technology. The boatyard would also receive training provided by the vendor. Assuming \$30 per hour, this cost is \$480.
- **Forklift rental.** A forklift is needed for one day to unload the system from the delivery truck and place in the final location. This cost is \$200 per day.

2.1.2 O&M Cost Assumptions

The Aquip[™] O&M costs are classified as routine, seasonal, and full maintenance. Routine maintenance occurs every year. Full maintenance is required every two years and seasonal maintenance occurs during the other years (i.e., odd years 1, 3, 5, receive seasonal maintenance; even years 2, 4, 6, receive full maintenance). The description of each maintenance type is as follows:

• **Routine.** Rake the top layer of media to regenerate the filter media and regain capacity. Typically, raking should be performed every quarter, depending on the frequency of rainfall. This requires three labor hours per quarter. Additionally, the system should be inspected and general upkeep tasks performed. This requires

three labor hours per month. Routine maintenance is assumed to require a total of 60 hours per year and cost \$1,800 annually. Solids removed from the pretreatment chamber are assumed to be non-hazardous and cost \$100 annually to dispose. Additionally, sampling for metals breakthrough is assumed to occur monthly. One sample per month would be sent to an analytical laboratory for testing of copper, lead, and zinc. Each sample would cost approximately \$200, including collection, shipping, and laboratory analysis.

- Seasonal. Remove and replace the very top layer of inert filtration media and the top filter fabric. This is typically performed at the end of the wet season in the years when the full media depth is not replaced. The cost of the new media is \$2,000 from the vendor. Spent media removal and new media placement requires approximately eight labor hours, which cost \$240. The disposal of spent media in a landfill cost approximately \$150.
- **Full.** Remove and replace the full depth of inert filtration media and filter fabric. Full maintenance should typically be performed every two years. The cost of the new media is \$9,500 from the vendor. Spent media removal and new media placement requires approximately 16 labor hours which cost \$480. The disposal of spent media cost approximately \$450.
- **Part replacement.** The submersible pump is estimated to be replaced every five years which is equivalent to \$160 per year.

2.2 Siemens Water Technologies WWIX

The Siemens Water Technologies WWIX system utilizes ion exchange resins and other media to remove specific ionic contaminants such as metals from stormwater and wastewater. A WWIX system sized for a typical 2-acre boatyard would require four 30 cubic foot (ft³) tanks, all in series. The first tank contains granular activated carbon (GAC) to remove organics and/or oxidizers prior to the ion exchange tanks. The second tank includes an ion exchange resin to remove lead. The third and fourth tanks contain ion exchange resins to remove the remaining dissolved solids and metals.

2.2.1 Construction Cost Assumptions

The WWIX capital costs were established assuming the stormwater would be collected in a terminal catch basin, the volume to be treated would flow by gravity to a pretreatment chamber for solids removal and then to a wet well sump. The water within

the wet well sump would be pumped to above ground bag filters, flow through each of the WWIX tanks in series, and then flow by gravity to the outfall.

Siemens Water Technologies rents but does not sell the ion exchange tanks; this cost is presented as an annual rental fee in Section 2.2.2. The cost estimate is presented in Table 2 and the vendor quote is included in Appendix B.

WWIX installation elements include:

- Sample analysis and waste profiling. As part of a final design for a site, the vendor performs a sample analysis and waste profiling of the site stormwater for \$650. The results of this analysis are used to select the ion exchange resin, which may affect total cost.
- Inlet, outlet and interconnecting hoses. The vendor will provide the miscellaneous piping between the tanks which costs \$5,833 in total.
- **Bag filters housing.** The vendor will provide and install the bag filter. The bag filter housing and one case of replacement filters costs \$2,000.
- Regeneration and delivery of the first tanks. The first set of four tanks needs to be regenerated and delivered to the site. The regeneration costs approximately \$17,135 and the delivery costs \$4,800. All shipping and handling of ion exchange tanks is handled by Siemens Water Technologies as detailed in Section 3.1 of the vendor quote in Appendix B.
- **Installation labor by vendor.** The vendor provides labor support for installation, start up, and training the owner. The cost provided in the vendor quote is \$1,033.

Additional equipment needs for installation of this stormwater treatment technology include:

- Hydrodynamic separator. A pre-treatment chamber, such as an ecoStorm or Stormceptor®, sized to remove particulates larger than 100 microns. The estimated cost, including installation, is \$9,000.
- Wet well sump. A 60-inch-diameter manhole with a total depth less than 8 ft, which costs approximately \$2,500.

Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Cost Analysis

- **Submersible pump.** A submersible pump with a flow rate of 70 gpm with automatic float switch that costs approximately \$800.
- Wet well sump, piping, and pump installation. Includes the excavation and placement of the wet well sump, placement and start up of pump, and any necessary plumbing required to tie into the stormwater collection system. This is estimated to cost \$2,500.
- **Storage building.** To prevent freezing of the tanks, a storage building will be required. A typical cost to purchase and install a pre-engineered storage building that has a 10-ft by 12-ft footprint is \$3,000.

Additional installation support costs needed from the boatyard, including:

- **Placement and assembly.** It is assumed that a total of 24 labor hours are needed to set up the storage building, receive training provided by the vendor, and assist with the start up of the treatment system. Assuming \$30 per hour, this cost is \$720.
- **Forklift rental.** A forklift is needed for one day to unload the system from the delivery truck and place in the final location. This cost is \$200 per day.

2.2.2 O&M Cost Assumptions

The size, configuration, and replacement frequency of the WWIX tanks were based on a stormwater sample from a boatyard collected during the pilot study. Although the influent concentrations will vary between each boatyard, it should reasonably represent stormwater quality for a typical boatyard. This sample was used to predict the resin regeneration costs and delivery of new tanks to the site. The testing results for the stormwater sample used are shown on Page 12 of Appendix B.

WWIX tank rental costs include:

- **GAC tank (rental).** One 30-ft³ GAC tank to remove oil/grease, organics and/or oxidizers prior to the ion exchange tanks. The annual rental cost is \$3,300.
- Lead media tank (rental). One 30-ft³ ion exchange tank (with CSO resin) to remove lead. The annual rental cost is \$3,300.

• **Metals media tanks (rental**). Two 30-ft³ ion exchange tanks (with SCC resin) to remove the remaining targeted dissolved metals and other salts. The annual rental cost for each tank is \$9,900.

Regeneration of the resin is required for proper operation. These costs include:

- **GAC tank regeneration.** The GAC should be replaced every six months. Each regeneration cost is \$3,360.
- Lead media tank regeneration. Based on a boatyard stormwater sample collected during the pilot study, the lead media tank can treat 920,000 gallons of stormwater before breakthrough of lead occurs. Assuming an annual volume of 1,900,000 gallons, the lead media tank will need to be replaced twice a year. Each regeneration cost is \$2,875.
- Metals media tank regeneration. Based on the boatyard stormwater sample collected during the pilot study, each metals media tank can treat 1,800,000 gallons of stormwater before breakthrough of copper and zinc occurs. Assuming an annual volume of 1,900,000 gallons, each metals media tank will need to be replaced once a year. The regeneration cost for each tank is \$5,450.
- Delivery of new tanks and pickup of spent tanks. Each tank has an estimated freight cost of \$1,200 for delivery and pickup. All shipping and handling of ion exchange tanks is handled by Siemens Water Technologies, as detailed in Section 3.1 of the vendor quote in Appendix B.

Routine maintenance elements include:

- **Monthly inspections and maintenance.** The system should be inspected and general upkeep tasks performed. This requires four labor hours per month which costs \$1,440 annually.
- Metals breakthrough monitoring. Replacement of the tanks is based on when one or more metals are detected in effluent samples. It is assumed that two samples are collected monthly, one for lead in the effluent from the lead media tank and one for copper and zinc in the effluent from the first metals media tank. Each sample would cost approximately \$200, including collection, shipping, and laboratory analysis. The annual cost for monitoring is \$4,800.

• **Part replacement.** The submersible pump is estimated to be replaced every five years which is equivalent to \$160 per year.

2.3 Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave Ionics™

The Water Tectonics, Inc. Wave lonics[™] is an electro-coagulation system that uses electrical current to coagulate particles by forcing contaminated water to flow between closely spaced metal plates, across which an alternating, direct or pulsing electrical potential is applied. The particles agglomerate into larger particles and either rise to the top or settle to the bottom of the water column.

The smallest flow rate that the Wave lonic[™] systems treat is 100 gpm. Therefore, the cost estimate assumes a treatment flow rate of 100 gpm, which is slightly higher than the 70 gpm assumed for the other two technologies.

2.3.1 Construction Cost Assumptions

The Wave Ionics[™] electro-coagulation capital costs were established assuming the stormwater would be collected in a terminal catch basin, the volume to be treated would flow by gravity to a pre-treatment chamber for solids removal and then to a wet well sump. The water within the wet well sump would be pumped to the aboveground electro-coagulation cells, pumped through the sand filters, and then flow by gravity to the outfall. The cost estimate is presented in Table 3 and the vendor quote is included in Appendix C.

The Wave Ionic[™] electro-coagulation system elements include:

• Electro-coagulation system. The packaged electro-coagulation system is quoted by Wave Tectonics, Inc. at \$80,000 for a 100 gpm peak flowrate. This price includes an 8-ft by 10-ft steel container with security doors to house the influent pump, two electro-coagulation cells, and control panels. Outside of the steel container, the water from the cells is sent to a sand filter with pump and automated backwash system that has an approximate footprint of 2 ft by 8 ft. The system has a location for an electric utility hookup to supply electricity to pumps, cells and the lights within the steel container.

Additional equipment needs for installation of this stormwater treatment technology include:

- **Hydrodynamic separator.** A pre-treatment chamber, such as an ecoStorm or Stormceptor, sized to remove particulates larger than 100 microns. The estimated cost, including installation, is \$9,000.
- Wet well sump. A 60-inch-diameter manhole with a total depth less than 8 ft, which costs approximately \$2,500.
- Wet well sump and piping installation. Includes the excavation and placement of the wet well sump, and any necessary plumbing required to tie into the stormwater collection system. This is estimated to cost \$2,000. The pump is included in the Water Ionic[™] prepackaged system.

Additional installation support costs needed from the boatyard include:

- Placement and assembly. Installation and training costs from the vendor are included in the cost of system. Additional installation costs for the boatyard are estimated to require 16 hours. Assuming \$30 per hour, this cost is \$480.
- **Forklift rental.** A forklift is needed for one day to unload the system from the delivery truck and place in the final location. This cost is \$200 per day.

2.3.2 O&M Cost Assumptions

The O&M cost for the Wave lonics[™] electro-coagulation system were provided based on gallons of stormwater treated. This has been converted to a yearly rate based on the assumption of treating 1,900,000 gallons annually.

- **Electricity.** Electricity for the electro-coagulation cells is estimated to cost \$0.16 per 1000 gallons treated. The equivalent annual cost is \$304.
- Electro-coagulation cells. The cells are replaced after treatment of 1,000,000 gallons. This means the cells will be replaced approximately twice a year, which is a \$2,660 annual replacement cost.
- **Conductivity.** The annual cost to maintain the conductivity by adding a small chemical dosage is based on a cost of \$0.02 per 1000 gallons, or \$38 per year.

- Monthly inspections and maintenance. The system should be inspected and general upkeep tasks performed. This requires 8 labor hours per month, which costs \$2,880 annually. Solids removed from the cells are assumed to be non-hazardous and cost \$200 annually to dispose.
- **Parts replacement.** The sand filter control, the pumps, and miscellaneous parts will need to be replaced every 5 to 10 years. The equivalent total annual cost is \$1000.

3. Assumptions for Typical Site Improvements

As described in Section 1.1, the typical boatyard description is based on a survey of boatyards within the Puget Sound region. Although the range of conditions at the boatyards varies greatly, the assumptions detailed in the following sections attempt to find a median of the existing conditions. It is assumed that a typical 2-acre boatyard has one existing outfall that can be utilized for stormwater discharge.

Permitting and site surveying are required for all site work. These cost assumptions are shown below.

- **Permitting.** A lump sum of \$1,000 in permitting costs will be assumed for the building, grading, and permits.
- Survey. For construction purposes, survey costs are estimated to be \$5,000.

3.1 Drainage Improvements Cost Assumptions

The necessary drainage improvements range greatly between the boatyards surveyed. Some boatyards have sufficient drainage and minimal regrading and resurfacing would be needed to install a stormwater collection system. Of the boatyards that would need stormwater collection systems installed, more than half would need significant regrading and resurfacing. For the purpose of this cost estimate, it is assumed that 50% of a typical boatyard requires regrading and resurfacing.

All of the cost estimates provided in this section are from the Heavy Construction Cost Data 2008 engineering guide (RSMeans 2007).

- Asphaltic berm. To prevent stormwater from directly flowing into the receiving water, an asphalt berm is installed along the edge of the boatyard that assumed to be 350 ft long. A typical berm is approximately 12 inches wide and less than 4 inches high.
- **Regrading.** In some locations, regrading the surface may be required to promote surface water runoff. It is assumed that 50% of a site, or one acre, will need regrading.

• Asphalt resurfacing. Asphalt resurfacing will be needed if regrading is necessary. Resurfacing consists of a crushed stone layer and asphalt layer that provides sufficient thickness for the boatyard activities.

3.2 Infrastructure Cost Assumptions

The sizing and quantities developed here have been approximated for the purpose of this report and do not constitute a stormwater design.

All of the cost estimates provided in this section are from the heavy construction cost guide.

- **Trenching.** Excavation of a 4-ft-wide and 4-ft-deep trench is required to install the stormwater collection pipe. The unit cost includes excavation using a backhoe, backfill, compaction, and disposal of excess spoil.
- **HDPE piping.** An 8-inch diameter HDPE pipe is assumed. The total length of piping is assumed to be 500 ft with three 90-degree elbows.
- **Asphalt patching.** Along the trench, the pavement needs to be replaced. A 4-inch thick layer is assumed.
- **Catch basins.** It is assumed that four catch basins are needed for a stormwater collection. Typical catch basins have a 48-inch inner diameter and are 4 ft deep. The unit price includes excavation, installation and removal of excess spoil.

3.3 O&M Cost Assumptions

There will be minimal O&M costs associated with the site improvements. Catch basins will need to be cleaned out regularly for accumulated debris. It is assumed that this will take one hour each and be performed monthly.

4. Cost Analysis Results

4.1 Results

The total costs for the three candidate stormwater treatment technologies and typical site improvements are presented in Tables 1 through 4, following Section 5. An NPV analysis was conducted to compare the technologies. The project life is assumed to extend for 15 years. The annual O&M costs are assumed to be constant over the 15 years. A discount rate of 7% that has been adjusted to account for the effect of expected inflation is assumed based on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidance on cost estimates for feasibility studies (2000). The following table presents a summary of the capital, annual, and NPV of each option.

Present Value Analysis	StormwateRx® Aquip™	Siemens Water Technologies WWIX	Water Tectonics Wave Ionics™	Site Improvements
Capital Costs (Year 0)	\$91,000	\$81,000	\$148,000	\$262,000
Annual O&M Costs (Year 1-15)	\$14,000	\$80,000	\$9,000	\$3,000
Present Value of O&M Costs	\$128,000	\$729,000	\$82,000	\$27,000
Net Present Value	\$219,000	\$810,000	\$230,000	\$290,000

Table 5: Total Costs and Net Present Value for Typical 2-Acre Boatyard

Since the boatyards range in sizes from 0.2 acres to 5 acres, the total cost and NPVs were calculated per acre. In general, for boatyards larger than 2 acres, the cost per acre will decrease and for boatyards smaller than 2 acres, the cost per acre will increase. This is due to some capital and O&M costs that are similar for every boatyards, regardless of size. However, the per-acre cost may be used to calculate an order of magnitude cost for boatyards in the 0.2- to 5-acre range.

Present Value Analysis	StormwateRx® Aquip™	Siemens Water Technologies WWIX	Water Tectonics Wave Ionics™	Site Improvements
Capital Costs (Year 0)	\$46,000/acre	\$41,000/acre	\$74,000/acre	\$131,000/acre
Annual O&M Costs (Year 1 to 15)	\$7,000/acre	\$40,000/acre	\$4,500/acre	\$1,500/acre
Present Value of O&M Costs	\$64,000/acre	\$364,000/acre	\$41,000/acre	\$14,000/acre
Net Present Value	\$110,000/acre	\$405,000/acre	\$115,000/acre	\$145,000/acre

Table 6: Total Costs and Net Present Value per Acre for a Typical Boatyard

The annual O&M costs show a large variation for the Siemens Water Technologies WWIX. This is because the system is rented from the vendor on an annual basis, instead of purchased in Year 0. This annual rental is approximately 50% of the O&M cost.

The space required for each technology will vary and could impact each boatyard differently. The footprint of the AquipTM is approximately 100 square feet (ft^2). There will be some additional room needed for the pump. The footprint of the storage building for the WWIX is approximately 120 ft^2 . The footprint of the storage container and sand filter for the Wave lonicsTM is approximately 100 ft^2 . It was assumed that an equalization tank beyond the storage that could be provided in a wet well sump was not needed for each of the technologies. During design, it may be cost effective to include additional equalization so that a smaller treatment system can be installed.

4.2 Discussion

Some key findings of the cost analysis are:

- The StormwateRx® Aquip[™] and the Water Tectonics Wave Ionics[™] systems had similar NPVs of approximately \$220,000 to \$230,000 for a typical 2-acre boatyard.
- The Siemens Water Technologies WWIX system NPV was over three times the cost of the other two technologies.
- Site improvements for a typical 2-acre boatyard will contribute to approximately one-half of the total cost to install a stormwater treatment technology.

- The Siemens Water Technologies WWIX and the Water Tectonics Wave lonic[™] systems require additional pretreatment for solids removal and fine particulate filtration. The StormwateRx® Aquip[™] incorporates these processes in the same tank as the metals removal.
- All three technologies have similar footprints if an aboveground equalization tank is not required.
- The NPV analysis for site improvements and stormwater treatment technologies compare well with previous cost estimates for small sites. The Cost Analysis prepared for Ecology and the Washington State Department of Transportation (Herrera Environmental Consultants 2001) estimated \$570,000 capital costs for constructing structural BMPs on a 1-acre commercial site. Operational source control BMPs were not included in that capital cost estimate for a 1-acre commercial site. The differences in costs presented in this analysis result from the selection of BMPs and that the development costs in this analysis assume only 50% of the site requires regrading and resurfacing.

Although not considered in this cost analysis, there may be operational and structural BMPs that can be implemented in order to lower the cost of treatment BMPs. Boatyards may be able to save costs by removing portions of the site from industrial contact with rainfall or surface runoff. For example, galvanized structural materials can be converted to an inert condition through either material substitution or coating surfaces. Both site development costs and treatment costs could be reduced. The following conceptual examples illustrate the potential cost savings:

- If industrial activity is stopped on one half acre of the typical site that needs drainage improvements, there will be savings accrued by only needing to treat the runoff from 75% of the site and improve drainage on 25% of the site.
- If industrial activity is stopped on one acre of the typical site that needs additional drainage improvements, there will be savings accrued by only needing to treat the runoff from 50% of the site.
- If galvanized roofing is coated with an inert substance, the runoff from that roof would not need to be treated, therefore, reducing the treatment unit sizing and costs.

4.3 Limitations

Each cost estimate includes a contingency to account for the uncertainty of the unit costs used in the estimate. However, there are some costs that have not been considered in this report. Some of these costs could increase the cost of installing a technology and making necessary site improvements. These include:

- Washington State Sales Tax. This is assumed to be proportional for all cost estimates.
- Additional monitoring required for regulatory compliance according to the General Boatyard Permit. This is assumed to be the same for all technologies.
- Additional treatment requirements due to levels of pollutants significantly higher than in the pilot study boatyards.
- Additional site improvements costs incurred when the water level at the point of discharge is very close to the boatyard ground level. This is not considered typical for the boatyards.
- Additional site improvement costs incurred to promote effective stormwater drainage and collection. This is not considered typical for the boatyards.
- Additional site improvement costs incurred when unknown obstacles, such as contaminated soil, are encountered. This is not considered typical for the boatyards.

The largest variable in this cost estimate is the extent of the site improvements required at each boatyard. The assumptions made herein are meant to provide a measure of the impact on overall cost. The actual fraction of the total cost that will be required at each boatyard will range from 0% to greater than 50%. An engineering design will be required to determine the actual extent of site improvements required.

ARCADIS does not endorse or recommend a stormwater treatment technology. This cost analysis has been prepared to provide necessary cost data for Ecology to utilize, along with the performance data from the Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Pilot Study (Taylor Associates 2008), in determining AKART for the Boatyard General Permit.

5. References

- Washington State Department of Ecology. 2005. Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington. Publication Numbers 05-10-029 through 05-10-033. February.
- Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2001. Cost Analysis Washington Department of Ecology Year 2001 Minimum Requirements for Stormwater Management in Western Washington. Prepared for Washington State Department of Ecology and Washington State Department of Transportation. August.

RSMeans. 2007. Heavy Construction Cost Data 2008. 22nd Edition.

- Taylor Associates, Inc. 2008. Boatyard Stormwater Treatment Technology Study. Prepared For Northwest Marine Trade Association, Puget Soundkeeper Alliance, Washington State Department of Ecology. March.
- USEPA. 2000. A Guide to Developing and Document Cost Estimates During the Feasibility Study. Publication number EPA 540-R-00-002. July.



TABLE 1 StormwateRx AQUIP™ COST ESTIMATE

BOATYARD STORMWATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY COST ANALYSIS

<u>د</u> م	COSTS

CAPITAL COSTS:							
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES		
Capital costs for Aquip™							
Aquip Model 80SB Filtration System	1	LS	\$48,500	\$48,500	See Note 2		
Capital costs for additional equipment needs							
Wet well sump	1	EA	\$2,500	\$2,500	Material costs only		
Submersible pump	1	EA	\$800	\$800	Material costs only		
Wet well sump, piping, and pump installation	1	LS	\$2,500	\$2,500	Excavation, placement, disposal		
SUBTOTAL				\$5,800			
Capital costs for additional installation support							
System delivery	1	EA	\$1,500	\$1,500	Transport form Portland, OR to site		
Placement and assembly	16	HR	\$30	\$480	Labor provided by boatyard		
Forklift Rental	1	day	\$200	\$200	Equipment rental only		
SUBTOTAL				\$2,180			
SUBTOTAL			-	\$56,480			
Mobilization and demobilization	10%			\$5.648			
SUBTOTAL	1070		_	\$62,128			
				ψ02,120			
Contingency	25%		_	\$15,532			
SUBTOTAL				\$77,660			
Engineering report	1	EA	\$5,000	\$5,000			
Design cost	10%		_	\$7,766			
TOTAL CAPITAL COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST	\$1,000)			\$91,000			
ANNUAL O&M COSTS:							
DESCRIPTION		οτν		τοται	NOTES		
Routine (occurs every year)	UNIT	- Carl		IOTAL			
Raking top layer of media	12	HR	\$30	\$360	3 labor hours per quarter		
Monthly inspections and maintenance	48	HR	\$30	\$1,440	3 labor hours per month		
Solids removal and disposal	1	LS	\$100	\$100	Solids removal (non-hazardous) from pre-treatment chamber		
Metals breakthrough monitoring	12	EA	\$200	\$2,400	1 sample per month, includes collection and sampling		
SUBTOTAL			· · · -	\$4,300			
Seasonal (occurs every other year, assume 1/2 cost an	nually)						
Partial media replacement	0.5	LS	\$2,000	\$1,000	Material cost only		
Spent media disposal	0.5	LS	\$150	\$75	Landfill disposal fee		
Labor	0.5	LS	\$240	\$120	8 labor hours		
SUBTOTAL			_	\$1,195			
Full (occurs every other year, assume 1/2 cost annually)						
Full media replacement	0.5	LS	\$9,500	\$4,750	Material cost only		
Spent media disposal	0.5	LS	\$450	\$225	Landfill disposal fee		
Labor	0.5	LS	\$480	\$240	16 labor hours		
SUBTOTAL			_	\$5,215			
Parts Replacement	1	LS	\$160	\$160	Pump replaced every 5 years		
SUBTOTAL				\$10,870			
Contingency	25%			\$2,718			
	FST \$1 00	0)		\$1/ 000			

Notes:

1. Costs developed for typical 2-acre boatyard.

2. Includes packaged treatment system in 17 ft x 6 ft x 6 ft steel structure with filter media and all necessary piping and valves. Also includes startup support (8 hours maximum) and O&M training for owner.



TABLE 2 SIEMENS WATER TECHNOLOGIES WWIX COST ESTIMATE

BOATYARD STORMWATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY COST ANALYSIS

CAPITAL COSTS:					
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES
Capital costs for WWIX					
Sample analysis and waste profiling	1	LS	\$650	\$650	
Inlet, outlet and interconnecting hoses	5	EA	\$1,167	\$5,833	All piping for WWIX tanks
Bag filters housing	1	LS	\$2,000	\$2,000	Includes installation by vendor
Regeneration of first set of tanks	1	LS	\$17,135	\$17,135	All the line and installation of topic provided by yonder
Delivery of first set of tanks	4	LA	\$1,200 \$1,033	\$4,800 €1 033	All handling and installation of tariks provided by vehicul
SUBTOTAL	I	LO	\$1,033	\$31 451	See Note 2
				ψ01,101	
Capital costs for additional equipment needs					
Hydrodynamic separator and installation	1	EA	\$9,000 \$3,500	\$9,000	Installation included
Wet well sump	1	EA EA	\$2,500 \$800	006,≤¢ 008\$	Material costs only
Wet well sump piping and pump installation	1	LS	\$2 500	\$2 500	Frequencies only
Storage building and installation	1	IS	\$3.000	\$3.000	Pre-engineered 10'x12' stee
SUBTOTAL		20	<u> </u>	\$17,800	
2. Solution to the UNIT of the stallastics around at					
Capital costs for additional installation support	24	Цр	\$30	\$720	Leher provided by bestverd
Forklift rental	∠4 1	HK	დ. დას დას	φ/∠0 \$200	Labor provided by boatyard
SUBTOTAL	I	uay	\$200	\$200	Equipment tentar only
oob to me			_	\$ 525	
SUBTOTAL				\$50,171	
Mobilization and demobilization	10%		_	\$5,017	
SUBTOTAL				\$55,188	
Contingency	25%		_	\$13,797	
SUBTOTAL				\$68,986	
Engineering report	1	EA	\$5,000	\$5,000	
Design cost	10%		-	\$6,899	
TOTAL CAPITAL COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST \$	1,000)			\$81,000	
ANNUAL O&M COSTS:					
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES
WWIX Tank Rental					
GAC tank rental	1	EA	\$3,300	\$3,300	
Lead media tank rental	1	EA	\$3,300	\$3,300	
	2	EA	\$9,900	\$19,800	
SOBTOTAL				φ20,400	
WWIX Tank Regeneration					
GAC tank resin regeneration	2	EA	\$3,360	\$6,720	See Note 3
Lead media tank regeneration	2	EA	\$2,875	\$5,750	See Note 4
Metals media tank regeneration	2	EA	\$5,450	\$10,900	See Note 5
SUBTOTAL	0	EA	\$1,200	\$7,200 \$30,570	includes install at site
Routine Maintenance					
Monthly inspections and maintenance	48	HR	\$30	\$1,440	4 labor hours per month
Metals breakthrough monitoring	24	EA	\$200	\$4,800	2 samples per month, includes collection and sampling
Parts replacement	1	LS	\$160	\$160	Pump replaced every 5 years
SUBTOTAL			_	\$63,370	
Contingency	25%			\$15,843	
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEARE	ST \$1,000))		\$80,000	

Notes:

1. Costs developed for typical 2-acre boatyard.

2. Cost provided by vendor to install system, start up pumps and provide training to owner.

3. Vendor recommends regenerating GAC tank every 6 months, or 2 times per year.

4. Each tank is estimated to treat 900,000 gallons before breakthrough occurs. Since the annual volume of stormwater is approximately 1,900,000 gallons, this tank will be changed 2 times per year.

5. Each tank is estimated to treat 1,800,000 gallons before breakthrough occurs. Since the annual volume of stormwater is approximately 1,900,000 gallons, this tank will be changed approximately 1 time per year.



TABLE 3 WATER TECTONICS WAVE IONIC™ ELECTRO-COAGULATION COST ESTIMATE

BOATYARD STORMWATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY COST ANALYSIS

CAPITAL COSTS:							
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES		
Capital costs for Water Ionics [™] Electro-coagulation syst	em						
Electro-coagulation unit (8'x10' container)	1	LS	\$80,000	\$80,000	See Note 2		
Capital costs for additional equipment needs							
Hydrodynamic separator and installation	1	EA	\$9,000	\$9,000	Installation included		
Wet well sump	1	EA	\$2,500	\$2,500	Material costs only		
Wet well sump and piping installation	1	LS	\$2,000	\$2,000	Excavation, placement, disposal, and plumbing		
SUBTOTAL				\$13,500			
Capital costs for additional installation support							
Placement and assembly	16	HR	\$30	\$480	Labor provided by boatyard		
Forklift rental	1	day	\$200	\$200	Equipment rental only		
SUBTOTAL				\$680			
SUBTOTAL			_	\$94,180			
Mobilization and demobilization	10%		_	\$9,418			
SUBTOTAL				\$103,598			
Contingency	25%		_	\$25,900			
SUBTOTAL				\$129,498			
Engineering report	1	EA	\$5,000	\$5,000			
Design cost	10%		· · ·	\$12,950			
TOTAL CAPITAL COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST \$1	,000)			\$148,000			
ANNUAL O&M COSTS:							
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES		
System operations							
Electricity	1	LS/YR	\$304	\$304	Assumes \$0.16 per 1000 gallons treated		
Cells	1	LS/YR	\$2,660	\$2,660	Assumes \$1.40 per 1000 gallons treated		
Conductivity	1	LS/YR	\$38	\$38	Assumes \$0.02 per 1000 gallons treated		
Solids removal and disposal	1	LS	\$150	\$200	Solids removal (non-hazardous) from cells		
Monthly inspections and maintenance	96	HR	\$30	\$2,880	8 labor hours per month		
SUBTOTAL				\$6,082			
Parts replacement (based on 15 year project)							
Sand filter control	1	LS	\$200	\$200			
Pumps	1	LS	\$300	\$300			
Miscellaneous parts	1	LS	\$500	\$500			
SUBTOTAL				\$1,000			
SUBTOTAL				\$7,082			
Contingency 25%			_	\$1,771			
OTAL ANNUAL 0&M COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST \$1,000) \$9,000							

Notes

1. Costs developed for typical 2-acre boatyard.

2. The packaged electro-coagulation system is based on a 100-gpm peak flowrate. Price includes an 8 ft x10 ft steel container with security doors to house the influent pump, 2 electro-coagulation cells, and control panels. Installation and training support included in price.



 TABLE 4

 TYPICAL SITE IMPROVEMENTS FOR STORMWATER COLLECTION COST ESTIMATE

BOATYARD STORMWATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY COST ANALYSIS

CAPITAL COSTS:					
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES
Permitting	1	LS	\$1,000	\$1,000	Building, re-grading and stormwater permit
Site Survey	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000	For existing site topography
Capital costs for drainage improvements					
Asphalt berm	350	LF	\$2.75	\$963	Includes materials and construction
Re-grading	4,840	SY	\$2.75	\$13,310	50% of site (Note 2), includes materials and construction
Asphalt resurfacing (aggregate base and asphalt) SUBTOTAL	4,840	SY	\$30_	\$145,200 \$159,473	50% of site (Note 2), includes materials and construction
Piping and Catch Basin Installation					
Trenching, 4' wide, 4 ft deep	500	LF	\$7.15	\$3,575	Includes excavation, backfill and removal of spoil
8" HDPE pipe	500	LF	\$17	\$8,500	Includes materials and installation
8" HDPE elbows	3	EA	\$230	\$690	Includes materials and installation
Asphalt patching of 4 wide trench	222	51	\$35 © 575	\$7,778 \$10,200	Includes materials and installation
SUBTOTAL	4	EA	\$2,575	\$30,843	includes excavation, installation and removal of spol
SUBTOTAL			-	\$196,315	
Mobilization and demobilization	10%		_	\$19,632	
SUBTOTAL				\$215,947	
Contingency	10%		-	\$21,595	
SUBTOTAL				\$237,542	
Design Cost	10%		-	\$23,754	
TOTAL CAPITAL COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST \$1,00	00)			\$262,000	
ANNUAL O&M COSTS:					
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	TOTAL	NOTES
Catch Basin Cleanouts		HR/YR	\$40	\$1,920 C	Clean 4 catch basins once monthly
SUBTOTAL				\$1,920	
Contingency			-	\$192	
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COST (ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST		E	\$3,000		
Netes					

Costs developed for typical 2-acre boatyard.
 Costs assume that 50% of boatyard area requires improved stormwater drainage and 50% of area does not.